

How Tethers Control the Chemo- and Regioselectivities of Intramolecular Cycloadditions between Aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and Alkenes

Xin Hong,[†] Yong Liang,[†] Matthias Brewer,^{*,‡} and K. N. Houk^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States [‡]Department of Chemistry, The University of Vermont, Burlington, Vermont 05405, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Cationic 1-aza-2-azoniaallenes react intermolecularly with terminal alkenes to give 1,5-substituted (3 + 2)-cycloadducts, but intramolecular reactions lead to either 1,5- or 1,4-substituted (3 + 2)-cycloadducts or (4 + 2)-cycloadducts, depending on the tether length. DFT calculations and distortion/interaction analyses show that the $(CH_2)_3$ tether prevents the reacting partners from aligning efficiently to give 1,5-substituted (3 + 2)-cycloadducts, and the 1,4-regioselectivity dominates. With the $(CH_2)_2$ tether, the (3 + 2) cycloaddition is disfavored due to the forming four-membered rin



cycloaddition is disfavored due to the forming four-membered ring in the transition state, and the (4 + 2) cycloaddition prevails.

T he 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions involve formally dipolar or zwitterionic molecules that add 1,3 to alkenes or alkynes to form five-membered heterocycles.¹ The identification and development of novel cycloaddition partners that lead to new heterocyclic scaffolds is an important area of ongoing research.² Jochims and co-workers reported that cationic aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes can undergo intermolecular (3 + 2) cyclo-addition reactions with alkenes to provide cationic diazenium products (Scheme 1).^{3,4} This transformation has hitherto been

Scheme 1. Intermolecular (3 + 2) Cycloaddition between 1-Aza-2-azoniaallene Salt 1 and 1-Hexene and Examples of Other Experimentally Reported 1,3-Monopoles, Protonated Azomethine Imine 3 and Dithionitronium Cation 4



described as a polar 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition,^{3,4} but we now suggest that these heteroallene salts are more accurately described as 1,3-monopoles, since they are cations and add 1,3 to alkenes.

Other 1,3-monopoles that participate in cycloaddition reactions include protonated azomethine imines⁵ and dithionitronium cations⁶ (Scheme 1). Reactions of these species with alkenes represent an unrecognized class of cycloadditions, which we term 1,3-monopolar cycloadditions. Recently, Brewer and co-workers studied the intramolecular reactions between aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallene cations and alkenes (Scheme 2), which lead to either normal (3 + 2) cycloadditions⁷

Scheme 2. Chemo- and Regioselectivities of Intramolecular Cycloadditions between Aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and Alkenes



or (4 + 2) cycloadditions⁸ using the azo bond and one aromatic π -bond of a 1-aryl substituent. All of these cycloadditions proceed efficiently and selectively at low temperature with unactivated alkenes (Scheme 2). The only factor that controls chemo- and regioselectivities is the length of the tether that connects the reacting partners.⁹ To determine origins of the tether-controlled reaction selectivity, we have undertaken a

 Received:
 July 6, 2014

 Published:
 July 24, 2014

computational study at the B3LYP-D3/6-311+G(d,p)//B3LYP/ 6-31G(d) level of theory.^{10,11}

We first studied the reactions of a simple 1,3-monopole 5^{12} , the result of hydride abstraction from the phenylhydrazone of acetone. Both (3 + 2) and (4 + 2) cycloadditions of 5 and propene were investigated. As shown in Figure 1, the concerted



Figure 1. DFT-computed energetics (in kcal/mol) and transition-state structures for the (3 + 2) and (4 + 2) cycloadditions between 1-aza-2-azoniaallene **5** and propene.

(3 + 2) cycloaddition can occur with the methyl group of propene proximal to the forming C-N bond (via TS6) or distal to the C–N bond (via **TS8**). The free energy barrier for (3 + 2)cycloaddition via TS6 is only 16.3 kcal/mol, and this reaction leads to a very stable five-membered ring product 7. The alternative (3 + 2) transition state TS8 is calculated to be 4.9 kcal/mol higher in free energy than TS6. The carbon terminus of 5 is highly electrophilic, and the LUMO+1 orbital coefficient of C3 in phenyl-1-aza-2-azoniaallene is much larger than that of N1.¹³ This indicates that C3 reacts with the nucleophilic terminal carbon of propene, which has the larger HOMO orbital coefficient. The (3 + 2) cycloaddition via TS6 (Figure 1) is the most favorable, consistent with the exclusive 1,5-regioselectivity reported for intermolecular reactions (Scheme 1).³ The competing (4 + 2) cycloaddition via **TS10** leading to the bicyclic product 11 has a barrier of 26.4 kcal/mol. The (4 + 2) cycloaddition barrier is significantly higher than that of the (3 +2) cycloaddition; the (4 + 2) cycloaddition pathway involves breaking the aromaticity of the phenyl ring in $5.^{14}$ Indeed, the (4 + 2) cycloadditions have not been observed in any intermolecular reactions of aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes. While each of these cycloadditions is concerted, with a single transition state, the most favorable pathway is highly asynchronous, dominated by the C–C bond formation.

We also studied the intramolecular cycloadditions between aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and alkenes with tether lengths of n = 0-2 (Scheme 3). The barriers computed for various processes

Scheme 3. Possible Intramolecular (3 + 2) and (4 + 2)Cycloadditions between Aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and Alkenes



are shown in Figure 2. When n = 0, only (3 + 2) cycloaddition transition state **TS13** can be located. The regioisomeric (3 + 2)transition state could not be located, because the tether is too short. The barrier for the (3 + 2) cycloaddition ($\Delta G = 19.8$ kcal/ mol) is much higher than that for the alternative (4 + 2)cycloaddition via **TS14** ($\Delta G = 13.0$ kcal/mol), in line with the experimental finding that only (4 + 2) cycloadditions occur for this system (Scheme 2a).⁸ The tether introduces minimal strain to the (4+2) transition state, and the entropy penalty is small for this intramolecular process. When n = 1, one (4 + 2) and two regioisomeric (3 + 2) transition states were located. The activation free energy required for the (4 + 2) cycloaddition via **TS18** is about 5 kcal/mol higher than those for the (3 + 2)cycloadditions. These results align well with the experimental finding that only (3 + 2) products are formed in this reaction.⁷ Comparing the two regioisometric (3 + 2) cycloaddition transition states TS16 and TS17, there is a 0.3 kcal/mol advantage for the formation of the 1.4-substituted (3 + 2)cycloadduct via TS16, which is consistent with the experimental observation of a mixture of products (Scheme 2b). When n = 2, the (4 + 2) cycloaddition is even less favorable. The regioselectivity of the (3 + 2) cycloaddition, which prefers the 1,5-substituted (3 + 2)-cycloadduct via TS21, is like the selectivity observed in intermolecular reactions.

To understand the role of the tether on the reaction barriers, we have separated the electronic energy barrier (ΔE) into two terms (Scheme 4): (1) a term $(\Delta E')$ representing energy

Scheme 4. Representative Distortion/Interaction Model for the Transition State of an Intramolecular Cycloaddition



contributions stemming from the structurally distorted reacting fragments (in this case a cationic 1-aza-2-azoniaallene [blue] and ethylene [red] fragment) amd (2) a term (ΔE_{tether}) representing energy contributions stemming from the tether. We previously applied this type of distortion/interaction analysis to other intramolecular cycloadditions.^{9,15} To obtain the contribution of the reacting fragments, the optimized transition structure for the intramolecular reaction of interest was modified by replacing the tether with hydrogen atoms appended at a C-H distance of 1.09 Å. This provides a distorted version of an intermolecular TS for the reaction of a model 1-aza-2-azoniaallene cation with ethylene. The $\Delta E'$ term represents the difference in energy between this distorted intermolecular TS and the separated reactants. The energy associated with distorting the 1-aza-2-azoniaallene cation and ethylene to their transition-state geometries is the distortion energy (ΔE_{dist}), and the energy stemming from interactions between the two fragments is the interaction energy



Figure 2. DFT-computed activation enthalpies and free energies (in kcal/mol) for the intramolecular (3 + 2) and (4 + 2) cycloadditions between 1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and alkenes with different tether lengths.



Figure 3. DFT-optimized transition structures, electronic energy barriers, distortion and interaction energies, and energy contributions from the tether for the intramolecular (3 + 2) and (4 + 2) cycloadditions with different tethers. Energies are in kcal/mol.

 $(\Delta E_{\rm int})^{16-18}$ Because $\Delta E'$ represents the reaction barrier for the two fragments to undergo an "intramolecular reaction" without the tether, the difference between ΔE and $\Delta E'$ quantitatively shows how the tether affects the energetics of the reaction $(\Delta E_{\rm tether} = \Delta E - \Delta E')$.

We first analyzed the effects of the shortest tether in the (3+2) transition state **TS13** and the (4+2) transition state **TS14**

(Figure 3). The tether in **TS13** significantly increases the barrier $(\Delta E_{\text{tether}} = 9.3 \text{ kcal/mol})$ due to the partial formation of a strained four-membered ring. By contrast, the tether stabilizes the (4 + 2) transition state **TS14** by 2.0 kcal/mol.¹⁹ The difference in energy imparted by the tether for these two reactions prevents the intrinsically more favorable (3 + 2) cycloaddition from occurring, and the unique (4 + 2)

cycloaddition is realized. When a longer tether (n = 1) is applied, the longer tether imparts similarly small energies to the (3 + 2)and (4 + 2) transition states TS16 and TS18 (2.9 and 1.3 kcal/ mol, respectively), and thus the innate (3 + 2) chemoselectivity is observed in this case. In considering the regioselectivity of the (3 +2) cycloaddition, we note that it is opposite to the intrinsic 1,5regioselectivity observed for the intermolecular (3 + 2)cycloaddition (Scheme 1). This is attributable to the fact that while the reacting partners in TS16 have similar geometries and orientations as the intermolecular reaction (TS8, Figure 1), the reacting partners in TS17 must distort more severely than in TS6 (Figure 1) to achieve C-C and C-N bond formation. In this case, the tether controls the regioselectivity by dictating how the reacting partners can align. Lengthening the tether by one more methylene unit (n = 2), the computed tether effects are all small and similar. Therefore, this intramolecular cycloaddition has very similar chemo- and regioselectivities as compared to the intermolecular reaction.

These calculations have identified the origins of tether control of the chemo- and regioselectivities of intramolecular cycloadditions between aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallenes and alkenes. The (3 + 2) cycloaddition is intrinsically more favorable than the competing (4 + 2) cycloaddition because it maintains the aromaticity of the aryl substituent. The high regioselectivity of intermolecular (3 + 2) cycloadditions is due to the better orbital interactions between the C3 in aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallene and the terminal olefinic carbon. The chemo- and regioselectivities of intramolecular reactions depend on the length of the tether connecting the reacting partners. When the tether is sufficiently long (n = 2), the chemo- and regioselectivities of intramolecular reactions are similar to the selectivities observed for intermolecular reactions. Shortening the tether by one methylene unit (n = 1) prevents the reacting partners from aligning efficiently in the normally preferred orientation of the (3 + 2) cycloaddition, and thus the regioselectivity of the process changes. Finally, the shortest tether (n = 0) significantly destabilizes the (3 + 2) cycloaddition transition state because of unfavorable interactions within the forming four-membered ring; the (4 + 2) transition state is stabilized by the same tether and becomes preferred, leading to a reversal in chemoselectivity as compared to the intermolecular reactions.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Computational details and complete ref 10. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: matthias.brewer@uvm.edu.

*E-mail: houk@chem.ucla.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the National Science Foundation (CHE-1059084 to K.N.H. and CHE-1362286 to M.B.) for financial support of this research. Calculations were performed on the Hoffman2 cluster at UCLA and the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE), which is supported by the NSF (OCI-1053575).

REFERENCES

 For selected reviews, see: (a) Bock, V. D.; Hiemstra, H.; van Maarseveen, J. H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 51. (b) Lutz, J.-F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1018. (c) Pellissier, H. Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 3235. (d) Sletten, E. M.; Bertozzi, C. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 666. (e) Debets, M. F.; Van Berkel, S. S.; Dommerholt, J.; Dirks, A. J.; Rutjels, F. P. J. T.; van Delft, F. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 805. (f) Ganesh, V.; Sudhir, V. S.; Kundu, T.; Chandrasekaran, S. Chem.—Asian J. 2011, 6, 2670. (g) Majumdar, K. C.; Ray, K. Synthesis 2011, 3767. (h) Heaney, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 3043. (i) Zhang, W. Chem. Lett. 2013, 42, 676. (j) Narayan, R.; Potowski, M.; Jia, Z.-J.; Antonchick, A. P.; Waldmann, H. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 1296.

(2) Adrio, J.; Carretero, J. C. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6784.

(3) Wirschun, W. G.; Al-Soud, Y. A.; Nusser, K. A.; Orama, O.; Maier, G.-M.; Jochims, J. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 2000, 4356.

(4) Wang, Q. R.; Amer, A.; Mohr, S.; Ertel, E.; Jochims, J. C. Tetrahedron **1993**, 49, 9973.

(5) (a) Griffith, A. K.; Vanos, C. M.; Lambert, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18581. (b) Rueping, M.; Maji, M. S.; Kucuk, H. B.; Atodiresei, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12864. (c) Le Fevre, G.; Sinbandhit, S.; Hamelin, J. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 1821. (d) Hesse, K-D. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1971, 743, 50.

(6) Burford, N.; Johnson, J. P.; Passmore, J.; Schriver, M. J.; White, P. S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1986**, 966.

(7) (a) Javed, M. I.; Wyman, J. M.; Brewer, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2189. (b) Wyman, J.; Javed, M. I.; Al-Bataineh, N.; Brewer, M. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8078.

(8) Bercovici, D. A.; Ogilvie, J. M.; Tsvetkov, N.; Brewer, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13338.

(9) For a related theoretical study of tether length effects, see: Krenske, E. H.; Davison, E. C.; Forbes, I. T.; Warner, J. A.; Smith, A. L.; Holmes, A. B.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, *134*, 2434.

(10) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 09*, revision D.01; Gaussian Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2013.

(11) Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H. J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 154104.

(12) For related DFT studies of cationic 1-aza-2-azoniaallenes, see: Wang, J.; Li, Z.; Wang, Q.; Tao, F. J. Mol. Model. **2013**, 19, 83 and references therein.

(13) Houk, K. N. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 361. For the frontier molecular orbital (FMO) analysis, see the Supporting Information.
(14) Liang, Y.; Jiang, X.; Yu, Z.-X. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5302.

(15) (a) Krenske, E. H.; Houk, K. N.; Holmes, A. B.; Thompson, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2011**, *52*, 2181. (b) Krenske, E. H.; Perry, E. W.; Jerome, S. V.; Maimone, T. J.; Baran, P. S.; Houk, K. N. Org. Lett. **2012**, *14*, 3016.

(16) (a) Ess, D. H.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 10646.
(b) Ess, D. H.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10187.

(17) For reviews, see: (a) van Zeist, W.-J.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2010, 8, 3118. (b) Fernández, I. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2014, 16, 7662.

(18) For selected recent examples, see: (a) Lopez, S. A.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. **2013**, 78, 1778. (b) Fernández, I.; Sola, M.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. Chem.—Eur. J. **2013**, 19, 7416. (c) Kamber, D. N.; Nazarova, L. A.; Liang, Y.; Lopez, S. A.; Patterson, D. M.; Shih, H.-W.; Houk, K. N.; Prescher, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 13680. (d) Liu, F.; Paton, R. S.; Kim, S.; Liang, Y.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 13680. (d) Liu, F.; Paton, R. S.; Kim, S.; Liang, Y.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 15642. (e) Morin, M. S. T.; St-Cyr, D. J.; Arndtsen, B. A.; Krenske, E. H.; Houk, K. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 17349. (f) Hong, X.; Liang, Y.; Griffith, A. K.; Lambert, T. H.; Houk, K. N. Chem. Sci. **2014**, 5, 471. (g) Yang, J.; Liang, Y.; Seckute, J.; Houk, K. N.; Devaraj, N. K. Chem.— Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 3365. (h) Fernández, I.; Bickelhaupt, F. M. J. Comput. Chem. **2014**, 35, 371. (i) Liu, S.; Lei, Y.; Qi, X.; Lan, Y. J. Phys. Chem. A **2014**, 118, 2638.

(19) Alkyl-substituted alkenes are more reactive than ethylene in cycloadditions with the electrophilic aryl-1-aza-2-azoniaallene. Therefore, the negative ΔE_{tether} values are observed in transition states **TS14** and **TS17**.